1782.

RESPUBLICA verfus SHRYBER et al.

CORCIBLE ENTRY.—In this case it was resolved, on solemn argument, that title could not be given in evidence by the defendant to prevent restitution. 8 H. 6 ch. 9. 1 Burns Just. 411. sec. 3.

And M'KEAN C. J. ruled that the wife of the profecutor might be examined as a witness to prove the force, but only the force;

for, otherwise, the statutes might be eluded in some cases.

The same Cause.

T. EWIS moved in arrest of judgment, on two grounds: 1st. For that the indictment stated, "that the prosecutor was seized in has demesse as of see," without saying when he was seized; so that it might be he was seized at the time of the indictment sound, and not at the time of the forcible entry.—2d. For that the indictment stated "that he was seized in his demesse as of see," and "his peaceable resignant thereof as aforesaid continued until &c." which is repugnant and inconsistent, in as much as he could not be both seized and pessessed at the same time.

But THE COURT over-ruled both objections: And M'KEAN C. J. faid, that the words, "his peaceable possession thereof as afore-faid," were surolusage and on to be rejected.*

SHRIDER'S Leffee versus NARGAN.

IN this cause, M'KEAN C. J. said, that he had ruled it in a case at Lancaster, that the lessor of the plaintiff shall not be obliged to shew his title surther back, than from the person who last died seized, first shewing the estate to be out of the Proprietaries, or the commonwealth.

It was objected by Lewis and Clymer, that a sherist's deed of sale of lands, under a writ of venditioni expenas, not being recorded in the Rolls Office, according to the Act of Assembly of 1774, could not be read in evidence.—Sed non allocatur: Because it was acknow-